1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney JUSTIN L. LEE Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100		
2			
3			
4	Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
6	United States of America		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:22-CR-00137-JAM	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL	
13	v.	ACT; ORDER	
14	MYRA BOLECHE MINKS, AND JESSICA TANG,	DATE: June 13, 2023	
15	Defendants.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez	
16	Defendants.		
17			
18	STIP	PULATION	
19	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on June 13, 2023.		
20	2. By this stipulation, the defendants now move to continue the status		
21	conference until October 17, 2023, at 09:00 a.m., and to exclude time between June 13,		
22	2023, and October 17, 2023, under Local Codes T2 and T4.		
23	3. The parties agree and stipul	ate, and request that the Court find the	
24	following:		
25	a) The government has a	represented that the discovery associated with	
26	this case includes approximately 3,800 pages of reports, video and audio recordings,		
27	and photographs. In addition, digital device extractions have been produced in		
28	discovery and amount to approximately 10 TB of data. All of this discovery has		

11

10

1213

1415

16

17

18

19

///

///

///

20

21 | ///

22 | ///

23

24 | ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

been produced directly to counsel.

- b) Counsel for the defendants desire additional time to review the discovery, counsel with their clients, conduct investigation and research related to the criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- c) Counsel for the defendants believe that failure to grant the aboverequested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of June 13, 2023 to October 17, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

## Case 2:22-cr-00137-JAM Document 92 Filed 06/07/23 Page 3 of 3

1	4. Nothing in this st	sipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other	
$\frac{1}{2}$	provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from		
3	the period within which a trial must commence.		
$\frac{3}{4}$	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
5		•	
6			
7	Dated: June 6, 2023	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney	
8			
9		/s/ JUSTIN L. LEE JUSTIN L. LEE	
10		Assistant United States Attorney	
11			
12	Dated: June 6, 2023	/s/ GAIL SHIFMAN GAIL SHIFMAN	
13		Counsel for Defendant Myra Minks	
14			
15	Dated: June 6, 2023	/s/ THOMAS A. JOHNSON THOMAS A. JOHNSON	
16		Counsel for Defendant Jessica Tang	
17		<u> </u>	
18			
19	ORDER		
20	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED.		
21			
22	Dated: June 07, 2023	/s/ John A. Mendez	
23		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			